Community Policing and Perceived Crime Reduction in Urban Nigeria: Evidence From Garki Area 3, Abuja

[1]Taofeek Temitope Lucas, [2]Dickson Ogbonnaya Igwe
[1]Apo Primary School, Apo, Abuja, Nigeria.
[2]National Open University of Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria.
Corresponding Author : temitopet711@gmail.com

Abstract

Community policing has increasingly been promoted as a means of strengthening police–community relations and improving local responses to crime. However, evidence on how residents perceive its contribution to crime reduction in specific urban communities in Nigeria remains limited. This study examines community policing and perceived crime reduction in Garki Area 3, Abuja, Nigeria, with particular attention to awareness, trust, police approachability, and resident engagement. The study is theoretically informed by Social Disorganization Theory and Broken Windows Theory, which together provide a framework for understanding how collective capacity, local social control, and responses to disorder may shape community safety perceptions. The study employed a mixed descriptive survey approach, combining structured questionnaires with semi-structured interviews. The quantitative analysis was based on responses from 190 participants drawn from residents and relevant community and security groups. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and logistic regression. The findings show high awareness of community policing, with 86.8% of respondents reporting that they had heard of the initiative, although understanding was generally moderate. Half of the respondents (50.0%) believed that crime had decreased, while 26.3% were unsure and 23.7% did not perceive a reduction. Logistic regression results indicate that improved trust (OR = 3.19, p = 0.001), police approachability (OR = 2.44, p = 0.008), awareness (OR = 2.39, p = 0.022), and understanding (OR = 1.42, p = 0.023) were significant predictors of perceiving crime reduction. Major implementation challenges included inadequate funding, low resident participation, poor training, weak coordination with formal security agencies, and political interference. The study concludes that community policing has strengthened aspects of police–community cooperation in Garki Area 3, but its perceived crime-reduction benefits remain constrained by institutional and community-level challenges. Sustained resources, training, public awareness, and stronger police–community coordination are important for strengthening implementation.

Keywords: Community Policing; Crime Reduction; Police–Community Relations; Urban Nigeria, Abuja

Introduction

Crime prevention in urban communities depends not only on the capacity of formal security institutions but also on the relationships that exist between law-enforcement agencies and the people they serve. Community policing emerged from the recognition that policing is more effective when residents are treated as partners in identifying local security problems, sharing information, and developing responses to recurring forms of disorder and crime. In this approach, police work is not restricted to responding to offences after they occur. It also involves communication, accessibility, problem solving, partnership building, and attention to the conditions that shape community safety.

The relevance of this approach is particularly clear in settings where formal police resources are limited and where residents possess information about local activities that may not be available to conventional policing structures. Community members often observe changes in neighborhood activity, identify recurring disorder, and know the

social networks through which information travels. A policing strategy that creates credible channels for sharing such information can therefore strengthen prevention and improve the ability of formal security institutions to respond to local concerns. Goldstein (1979), for example, argued for a problem-oriented approach that moves policing beyond incident-by-incident response towards identification and resolution of underlying problems.

In Nigeria, the development of community-oriented approaches to policing has occurred against a background of concerns about police legitimacy, public trust, resource constraints, and the relationship between formal and informal security arrangements. Earlier Nigerian studies have documented difficulties in police–community relations and the role of informal policing in responding to local security needs (Alemika, 1993; Alemika & Chukwuma, 2004). The Department for International Development (DFID, 2000) similarly emphasized that community policing should be understood as a broad policing philosophy rather than simply as a specialized departmental activity. These observations remain relevant because the effectiveness of community policing depends on whether the philosophy becomes embedded in everyday police practice and community participation.

Garki Area 3 provides a useful setting for examining these issues. The study area combines residential, commercial and institutional activities and includes residents with different occupational and social backgrounds. Such diversity creates both opportunities and difficulties for community-based security. Traders, civil servants, students, neighborhood actors and police personnel may encounter crime and policing in different ways. Consequently, an assessment based only on the views of police officers or only on aggregate crime statistics may not fully capture how a community experiences a policing intervention.

The central problem addressed by this study is therefore not simply whether community policing exists, but whether the conditions surrounding its implementation are associated with residents' perceptions of crime reduction. In particular, the study considers whether awareness and understanding of community policing, trust in the police, police approachability, and personal engagement are related to perceptions that crime has declined. This emphasis is important because a program may have a formal presence in a community without achieving the level of interaction required for residents to participate actively or report suspicious activity.

The findings also reveal a practical tension between relatively high awareness and relatively limited participation. Most respondents had heard of community policing, yet only a smaller proportion reported active personal participation. This distinction matters for implementation because awareness can create visibility, but visibility alone does not guarantee cooperation. Participation is more likely to become meaningful when residents believe that police are accessible, responsive and trustworthy, and when credible institutional arrangements exist for acting on information provided by the community.

The Research Gap and Contribution

Existing literature provides important theoretical and practical foundations for community policing, but much of the evidence is broad, program-level, or drawn from settings outside the specific urban context examined here. Relatively little empirical work has focused on how community policing is experienced within a defined urban neighbourhood of Abuja and on the factors associated with perceived crime reduction in that locality. This study addresses that gap by focusing on Garki Area 3 and by combining descriptive evidence with inferential analysis. Rather than treating community policing as a single undifferentiated intervention, the study examines awareness, understanding, trust, police approachability, engagement, and length of residence as distinct dimensions associated with perceived crime reduction. It therefore makes a localized empirical contribution while also providing practical evidence on the implementation conditions that shape police–community cooperation.

This article addresses that gap by concentrating on Garki Area 3 and by combining descriptive evidence with inferential analysis. Rather than treating community policing as a single undifferentiated intervention, the analysis separates awareness, understanding, trust, police approachability, engagement, and length of residence. This allows the study to identify which dimensions are statistically associated with the perception that crime has been reduced and which are not.

Aim and research questions

The overall aim is to examine the relationship between community policing and perceived crime reduction in Garki Area 3, Abuja.

The study addresses three broad questions:

Why is community policing needed in Garki Area 3?

What is the relationship between community policing organizations, formal security agencies and residents in the study area?

What factors affect the effectiveness of community policing in Garki Area 3?

Literature Review

Concept of community policing

Community policing is generally understood as a policing philosophy that emphasizes partnership between police agencies and members of the community, decentralized problem solving, communication and shared responsibility for public safety. Trojanowicz and Bucqueroux (1990) describe the approach as one in which police and community members work together to identify and solve problems of crime and disorder. The concept therefore differs from a purely reactive model because it places relationships and prevention alongside conventional enforcement.

Problem solving is a central component of community policing. Goldstein (1979) argued that police organizations should identify recurring problems and address their causes rather than simply process individual incidents. In community settings, this logic encourages officers to work with residents and other agencies to understand why particular forms of crime or disorder persist. Rosenbaum (2002) similarly emphasized the importance of multi-agency partnerships in crime prevention and the need for appropriate measurement of partnership outcomes.

The community dimension is equally important. Skogan (2006) shows that relationships between police and residents influence the capacity of community policing programmes to generate cooperation. Where residents view police as accessible and legitimate, they may be more willing to communicate information and participate in problem-solving activities. Where distrust is strong, even formally established community-policing structures may have limited practical effect.

Community policing and crime reduction

The relationship between community policing and crime reduction is not necessarily direct or automatic. Community policing may affect crime through several intermediate mechanisms, including increased information sharing, greater reporting of suspicious activity, improved police visibility, faster identification of local problems, and stronger informal social control. These mechanisms imply that the outcome of community policing depends partly on the quality of relationships established between police and residents.

The Nigerian literature points to both potential and constraints. Alemika and Chukwuma (2004) examined informal policing and public safety and highlighted the role of community-level actors in security provision. Ikuomola (2011) identified implementation challenges affecting community policing in Nigeria, while Odekunle (2005) discussed broader institutional and resource constraints surrounding crime control. Taken together, these studies suggest that local participation is valuable but can be undermined when formal institutions lack resources, coordination mechanisms or public confidence.

Trust, legitimacy and police approachability

Trust is a critical mechanism in community policing because residents must believe that

information shared with the police will be treated appropriately and that police officers will respond to community concerns. The legitimacy literature likewise suggests that perceptions of fairness and appropriate treatment influence willingness to cooperate with law enforcement. Tankebe (2008), in research on police legitimacy and public attitudes in Ghana, demonstrates the relevance of legitimacy and procedural concerns in shaping public responses to police authority.

Approachability is a practical expression of this relationship. A police institution may formally encourage reporting, but residents are less likely to use reporting channels if officers are perceived as inaccessible or unresponsive. In this sense, trust and approachability are related but distinct: trust concerns confidence in the institution and its actions, while approachability concerns whether residents feel able to interact with officers. The present study therefore treats both as separate explanatory variables.

Theoretical Framework

Social Disorganization Theory

The study is primarily guided by Social Disorganization Theory, associated with Shaw and McKay (1942). The theory links variations in crime and disorder to the capacity of neighbourhoods to maintain social organization, collective efficacy and informal social control. Communities characterized by weak social ties and limited collective capacity may experience greater difficulty controlling disorder and responding collectively to local security problems.

This perspective provides a justification for examining resident engagement, trust, communication and cooperation in Garki Area 3. Community policing can be understood as an institutional mechanism through which police and residents attempt to strengthen local communication and collective capacity. The theory therefore helps explain why the quality of relationships among residents and between residents and police may influence perceptions of crime reduction.

The second theoretical perspective is Broken Windows Theory, associated with Wilson and Kelling (1982). The theory proposes that visible disorder can communicate weakened social control and may contribute to further disorder and crime. Community policing connects with this perspective through attention to minor disorder, local concerns and police resident communication. However, the theory should not be treated as proof that community policing automatically reduces serious crime. In this study it functions as a framework for understanding why attention to local disorder and collective responsibility may matter for residents' perceptions of safety.

Empirical evidence and Nigerian context

The reviewed literature suggests that successful community policing depends on several interacting conditions. These include community involvement, police training and attitude, public trust, institutional resources, and collaboration among formal and informal security actors. Skogan (2006) emphasizes the importance of police–community interaction, while Rosenbaum (2002) highlights partnership design and measurement. Nigerian scholarship adds the significance of resource limitations, institutional capacity and public confidence (Alemika, 1993; Alemika & Chukwuma, 2004; Ikuomola, 2011).

The thesis identifies limited empirical attention to specific communities within Abuja. This matters because national-level or state-level assessments may conceal substantial variation between neighborhoods. Garki Area 3 has its own population composition, economic activities and patterns of interaction with security institutions. A localized study can therefore identify implementation conditions that broader studies may not detect.

The present study extends the literature by linking several dimensions of community policing to a specific outcome: respondents' perception that crime has been reduced. The use of chi-square tests and logistic regression allows the analysis to move beyond simple descriptions of awareness and attitudes. At the same time, because the outcome is perception-based, the

findings should be interpreted as evidence about perceived crime reduction rather than as a direct estimate of changes in officially recorded crime. Conceptually, the analysis treats awareness, understanding, trust, police approachability and resident engagement as community-policing dimensions that may shape perceptions of crime reduction, while length of residence is treated as a contextual characteristic. Funding, training, coordination and institutional support are implementation conditions that may influence how these relationships operate.

Methodology

Research design

The study employed a descriptive survey design with quantitative and qualitative components. The design was selected because the research seeks to describe community members' experiences and perceptions of community policing without manipulating the study environment. Structured questionnaires provided the main quantitative evidence, while semi-structured interviews were included in the research design to obtain contextual information from relevant stakeholders.

The inferential analysis focuses on the quantitative survey data. The survey produced detailed numerical evidence from 190 respondents; the interview component was included to provide contextual information but is not presented as a separate thematic analysis because the available interview records do not provide a sufficiently detailed coding framework.

Study area and population

The study was conducted in Garki Area 3 within the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. The study population comprised people living and working in the area and groups connected to local security activities. The source thesis describes a diverse population including residents, traders, civil servants, students, community actors and police officers. This diversity was considered relevant because the study sought to capture perspectives from both formal security personnel and members of the community affected by crime and policing.

3.3 Sample and sampling

The quantitative analysis comprised 190 completed responses. The sampling procedure was designed to obtain representation across relevant community groups, using stratified random sampling and purposive sampling for participants with direct knowledge of community-policing activities. The final sample used for analysis should be retained consistently across the manuscript.

The thesis reports the use of stratified random sampling and purposive sampling. Stratification was intended to ensure representation across relevant community groups, while purposive sampling was used to include participants with direct knowledge of community-policing activities, including community-based actors, market leaders, civil servants, neighborhood watch actors and police personnel.

Data collection instruments

The primary instruments were a structured questionnaire and a semi-structured interview guide. The questionnaire covered demographic characteristics, awareness and understanding of community policing, perceptions of crime reduction, police–community relationships, participation and implementation challenges. The interview component was intended to provide contextual accounts from selected stakeholders.

Measurement and variables

The principal dependent variable was perceived crime reduction. For the regression analysis, the response to the crime-reduction question was recoded as a binary outcome: 1 for respondents who believed crime had reduced and 0 for respondents who answered either 'No' or 'Not Sure'. The predictors were awareness of community policing, self-rated understanding, perceived improvement in trust, police approachability, personal engagement and length of residence.

Table 1: Operationalization of study variables

Variable	Operationalization in the reported analysis
Perceived crime reduction	1 = Yes; 0 = No or Not Sure
Awareness	1 = aware; 0 = not aware
Understanding	Ordinal scale from Very Poor (1) to Excellent (5)
Trust improvement	1 = Agree/Strongly Agree; 0 = other responses
Police approachability	1 = Agree/Strongly Agree; 0 = other responses
Personal engagement	1 = Yes; 0 = No
Length of residence	Ordinal: <1 year; 1–5; 6–10; 10+ years

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondent characteristics and major perceptions. Chi-square tests were used to examine selected associations between categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to estimate the relationship between the binary perceived-crime-reduction outcome and the selected predictors. Statistical significance was assessed at the 5% level in the reported analyses.

Ethical considerations

Respondents were approached in a private and respectful manner, and the study was designed to support reliable and objective data collection. The final manuscript should include the precise institutional ethical-approval and informed-consent statement from the research records before journal submission.

Results

Respondent characteristics

The analytical sample comprised 190 respondents. The demographic distribution shows a relatively broad mix of age groups and occupations. Male respondents accounted for approximately 53.7%, females 44.7%, while 1.6% did not disclose gender. The largest age group was 18–30 years (41.1%), followed by 31–45 years (34.2%). Together, respondents aged 18–45 accounted for 75.3% of the sample.

Table 2: Respondent characteristics

Characteristic	Category	n	%
Gender	Male	102	53.7
	Female	85	44.7
	Undisclosed	3	1.6
Age	Under 18	12	6.3
	18–30	78	41.1
	31–45	65	34.2
	46–60	28	14.7
	60+	7	3.7
Occupation	Trader/Market worker	70	36.8
	Civil servant	58	30.5
	Student	35	18.4
	Police officer	15	7.9
	Other	12	6.3

Traders and market workers constituted the largest occupational group, followed by civil servants. Students represented 18.4%, while police officers accounted for 7.9%. The distribution is relevant to the study because it provides perspectives from people who interact with the local community and security environment in different ways.

Awareness and understanding of community policing

Awareness of community policing was high. A total of 165 respondents (86.8%) reported that they were aware of community policing, while 25 respondents (13.2%) were not aware. Awareness was therefore substantially higher than non-awareness, but awareness did not necessarily correspond to a high level of understanding.

Table 3: Awareness of community policing

Awareness	n	%
Aware	165	86.8
Not aware	25	13.2
Total	190	100.0

Self-rated understanding was concentrated around the middle categories. Approximately 42.1% rated their understanding as fair and 31.6% as good. Smaller proportions reported

poor (13.2%), very poor (5.3%) or excellent (7.9%) understanding. The distribution indicates that the initiative was visible to many respondents but that detailed knowledge of its meaning and operation was less widespread.

Table 4: Self-rated understanding of community policing

Understanding	%
Very Poor	5.3
Poor	13.2
Fair	42.1
Good	31.6
Excellent	7.9

Among respondents who were aware of community policing (n = 165), friends and neighbours were the most frequently reported information source (66.7%), followed by social media/news (51.5%), community meetings (42.4%) and police outreach (27.3%). Multiple responses were allowed. The pattern indicates that informal social networks and media were important channels through which awareness spread.

Table 5: Sources of information about community policing

Information source	n	% of aware respondents
Friends/neighbors	110	66.7
Social media/news	85	51.5
Community meetings	70	42.4
Police outreach	45	27.3
Other	15	9.1

Perceived crime reduction and police–community relations

Half of the respondents (50.0%) believed that crime had decreased as a result of community policing. A further 26.3% were unsure, while 23.7% did not perceive a reduction. Thus, the survey provides evidence of a positive but far from universal perception of crime reduction. This result is important because it cautions against interpreting the high level of awareness as equivalent to universal confidence in outcomes.

Table 6: Perceived crime reduction

Perceived crime reduction	n	%
Yes	95	50.0
Not sure	50	26.3
No	45	23.7
Total	190	100.0

Responses to individual relationship statements were mixed. Approximately 55% agreed that community policing had improved trust between residents and police, while about 70% agreed that it encouraged reporting of suspicious activities. By contrast, only about 45% agreed that police were approachable and responsive, and approximately 35% agreed that community policing worked well with formal security agencies. Only about 30% reported active resident participation, with a sizeable proportion either neutral or disagreeing.

Table 7: Police–community relationship indicators

Perception/relationship indicator	Reported agreement
Improved trust between residents and police	. . . .
Crime rates have decreased	≈55%
Encourages reporting of suspicious activities	≈70%
Police are approachable/responsive	≈45%
Works well with formal security agencies	≈35%
Residents actively participate	≈30%

The pattern suggests that community policing may be more successful at encouraging information sharing than at generating sustained direct participation. The relatively high agreement on reporting suspicious activities is particularly notable alongside the lower level of reported active participation.

Perceived need for community policing

Respondents identified several reasons for the perceived need for community policing. High crime rate was the most frequently selected reason (78.9%), followed by the need for a better police relationship (73.7%), increased insecurity (68.4%), and inadequate police presence (57.9%). Multiple responses were permitted.

Table 8: Perceived reasons for community policing

Perceived reason	n	%
High crime rate	150	78.9
Need for better police relationship	140	73.7
Increased insecurity	130	68.4
Lack of adequate police presence	110	57.9
Other	20	10.5

Implementation challenges

The most frequently reported implementation challenge was lack of funding, selected by 76.3% of respondents. Low resident participation followed at 71.1%, while lack of trust from residents was reported by 63.2%. Poor training was identified by 55.3%, weak coordination with formal security agencies by 47.4%, and political interference by 36.8%. Because respondents could select multiple challenges, the percentages should not be interpreted as mutually exclusive shares.

Table 9: Reported implementation challenges

Challenge	n	%
Lack of funding	145	76.3
Low resident participation	135	71.1
Lack of trust from residents	120	63.2
Poor training	105	55.3
Weak coordination with formal agencies	90	47.4
Political interference	70	36.8
Other	25	13.2

Chi-square analysis

The chi-square analysis identified several important relationships. First, occupation was significantly associated with perceptions of police approachability ($\chi^2 = 12.35$, $p = 0.0004$, $df = 1$). The source analysis reports that police officers were more likely than civilians to hold a positive view of police approachability. This difference indicates that the internal experience of police personnel and the experience of community members may not be identical.

Second, awareness of community policing was significantly associated with the belief that community policing encourages reporting of suspicious activities ($\chi^2 = 15.92$, $p = 0.0003$, $df = 2$). Respondents who were aware of the initiative were more likely to agree that it promotes reporting. This provides inferential support for the importance of visibility and public knowledge.

Third, length of residence was not significantly associated with personal engagement ($\chi^2 = 4.12$, $p = 0.249$, $df = 3$). The analysis also found that gender and length of residence did not significantly influence overall perceptions of crime reduction.

Table 10: Chi-square tests of selected relationships

Tested relationship	χ^2	df	p-value	Interpretation
Occupation × police approachability	12.35	1	0.0004	Significant
Awareness × encouragement to report	15.92	2	0.0003	Significant
Length of residence × personal engagement	4.12	3	0.249	Not significant

Logistic regression

A binary logistic regression model was estimated to identify predictors of perceiving that crime had been reduced. The model was statistically significant overall (likelihood-ratio $p = 1.68 \times 10^{-11}$) and reported a McFadden pseudo-R^2 of 0.225. The model included awareness, self-rated understanding, trust improvement, police approachability, personal engagement and length of residence.

Table 11: Logistic regression predictors of perceived crime reduction

Predictor	Coefficient	p-value	Odds ratio	Result at 5%
Trust improvement	+1.16	0.001	3.19	Significant
Police approachability	+0.89	0.008	2.44	Significant
Awareness	+0.87	0.022	2.39	Significant
Understanding	+0.35	0.023	1.42	Significant
Personal engagement	+0.60	0.063	1.82	Not significant
Length of residence	—	0.177	—	Not significant

Trust improvement was positively associated with perceived crime reduction. Respondents

coded as perceiving improved trust had estimated odds of perceiving crime reduction that were 3.19 times those of respondents in the reference category, holding the other model variables constant. Police approachability was also positively associated with the outcome (OR = 2.44), as were awareness (OR = 2.39) and higher self-rated understanding (OR = 1.42).

Personal engagement had a positive coefficient and an odds ratio of 1.82, but its p-value of 0.063 did not meet the conventional 5% significance threshold. It may therefore be described as suggestive rather than statistically significant at the stated level. Length of residence was not a significant predictor (p = 0.177).

Discussion

Awareness is high, but knowledge remains uneven

The significance of awareness is reinforced by the chi-square result linking awareness with the belief that community policing encourages crime reporting. This does not establish that awareness causes reporting behavior, but it shows that the two variables are statistically associated in the sample. It also supports the practical value of public communication. Friends and neighbors, social media/news and community meetings were more frequently reported as information channels than police outreach. This pattern suggests that public communication strategies may need to work through multiple trusted channels rather than relying exclusively on formal police communication.

Trust and police approachability are central to perceived outcomes

Trust emerged as the strongest statistically significant predictor in the reported logistic regression. The positive association between trust improvement and perceived crime reduction is consistent with the basic logic of community policing: cooperation is more feasible when residents have confidence in police institutions. The finding also complements the legitimacy literature, which emphasizes the relationship between public perceptions of policing and willingness to cooperate (Tankebe, 2008).

Police approachability was another significant predictor. This result is substantively important because community policing depends on interaction. If residents do not feel able to approach officers, the channels through which information and concerns move between the community and police can remain weak. The finding also helps explain why the surveys positive assessment of reporting did not translate into equally strong ratings of police responsiveness. Community members may be willing to recognize the value of reporting while still having reservations about how accessible or responsive police personnel are.

The significant difference between police officers and civilians in perceptions of approachability reinforces this point. Because the test shows a statistically significant association between occupation and approachability, the two groups do not appear to experience police accessibility in the same way. This does not by itself identify why the difference exists, but it highlights the need for evaluation mechanisms that capture both institutional and community perspectives.

Community participation remains a weak link

Only about 30% of respondents reported active participation, while low resident participation was also identified as a major implementation challenge by 71.1% of respondents. This is one of the clearest tensions in the results. Community policing requires community partnership, yet awareness and willingness to report do not automatically translate into sustained participation in activities. Social Disorganization Theory provides a useful lens here because collective capacity depends on relationships and the ability of residents to act together around shared problems (Shaw & McKay, 1942).

The non-significant relationship between length of residence and personal engagement further suggests that simple exposure to the neighborhood over time does not guarantee participation. Long-term residence may provide

familiarity with local conditions, but participation appears to depend on other factors, including trust, perceived responsiveness, communication and the institutional organization of community-policing activities.

Resource and institutional constraints

Funding was the most frequently identified challenge. This result is consistent with the Nigerian literature's emphasis on institutional capacity and resource limitations (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2004; Odekunle, 2005). Community policing requires more than the declaration of a policy. Officers need time, communication channels, training, mobility and organizational support to maintain relationships with residents. Where these resources are weak, community policing may remain episodic rather than becoming part of routine policing practice.

Poor training was reported by 55.3% of respondents. Training is important because community policing requires skills that differ in emphasis from purely reactive enforcement, including communication, conflict management, problem identification, partnership development and local information gathering. Goldstein's (1979) problem-oriented perspective is relevant because officers need the capacity to identify recurring problems and work with other actors to address them.

Weak coordination with formal security agencies was reported by 47.4% of respondents. This finding supports the partnership perspective advanced by Rosenbaum (2002), which treats inter-agency collaboration as an important element of crime-prevention initiatives. Community actors may generate useful information, but their contribution is constrained if communication with formal security agencies is irregular or if responsibilities are unclear.

Political interference and institutional safeguards

Political interference was identified by 36.8% of respondents. The result should be interpreted as a reported implementation concern rather than as an independent measurement of political interference. Nevertheless, the finding points to the importance of clear institutional rules, accountability mechanisms and continuity. Community policing depends on relationships that may take time to develop; instability or perceived interference can weaken confidence in those relationships. The Nigerian policing literature identifies concerns about the institutional environment within which Nigerian policing operates (Alemika, 1993; Marenin, 1985).

Interpreting the crime reduction result carefully

The study found that 50% of respondents believed crime had decreased, while almost half either were unsure or did not perceive a reduction. The finding therefore supports a cautious conclusion: community policing is associated with a meaningful but incomplete perception of improved crime outcomes in the study area. The result should not be presented as evidence that community policing caused an objective decline in recorded crime because the study's outcome is perception-based and the research design is observational.

The regression findings provide a more specific explanation of this perception. Trust, approachability, awareness and understanding were positively associated with the likelihood of perceiving crime reduction. These variables describe the quality of the police–community relationship and the visibility and comprehension of the initiative. The findings therefore suggest that the perceived effectiveness of community policing is closely connected to how the initiative is experienced, rather than merely whether it exists.

Finally, the interview component was included in the research design, but the available interview records do not provide enough detailed qualitative evidence for a separate thematic analysis in this article.

mplications for practice and future research

The findings indicate several areas for strengthening implementation. Public education should move beyond simple awareness towards clear explanations of what community policing

entails, how residents can participate and how reports are handled. Communication should use community meetings, social networks, media and police outreach together. Training should emphasize communication, problem solving and community engagement. Formal mechanisms for police–community liaison and inter-agency coordination should also be strengthened.

Future studies could improve the evidence base by combining perception surveys with longitudinal administrative crime data. Repeated surveys could examine whether changes in trust and approachability precede changes in perceived or recorded crime. Comparative studies across different Abuja communities could also establish whether the Garki Area 3 pattern is distinctive or reflects broader urban policing conditions. Qualitative research involving residents, police officers, community leaders and other security actors could further explain why awareness sometimes fails to become participation.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, five recommendations are proposed. First, community-policing units should strengthen sustained public education through community meetings, social networks, local media and police outreach so that awareness is accompanied by practical understanding of residents' roles. Second, police leadership should prioritize trust-building and approachability through responsive reporting channels, respectful engagement and regular police–community liaison activities. Third, adequate funding, mobility, communication resources and specialized training should be provided to support routine community-policing activities. Fourth, clearer coordination mechanisms should be established among police, community actors and other formal security agencies, with defined responsibilities and channels for sharing information. Fifth, future monitoring should combine resident perceptions with administrative crime and incident data so that perceived changes can be assessed alongside objectively recorded outcomes.

Conclusion

This study examined community policing and perceived crime reduction in Garki Area 3, Abuja. The evidence shows a mixed but informative picture. Awareness of community policing is high, yet detailed understanding is more moderate. Half of respondents perceive a reduction in crime, while a substantial proportion remain uncertain or perceive no reduction. The results therefore point to meaningful but incomplete confidence in the local effectiveness of community policing.

The inferential findings identify trust, police approachability, awareness and understanding as significant predictors of perceiving crime reduction. At the same time, active participation remains limited and respondents report substantial implementation barriers, particularly inadequate funding, low participation, lack of trust, poor training and weak coordination with formal security agencies. The findings indicate that community policing should be understood as a relationship-based approach whose effectiveness depends on the quality of interaction between institutions and residents.

The broader contribution of the study is to show why localized evaluation matters. A community may demonstrate high awareness of a policing initiative while still experiencing limitations in participation, responsiveness and institutional coordination. Strengthening community policing in Garki Area 3 therefore requires attention not only to program visibility but also to the practical conditions that enable trust, communication, participation and problem solving.

References

Alemika, E. E. O. (1993). Policing and perceptions of police in Nigeria. Centre for Law Enforcement Education.

Alemika, E. O., & Chukwuma, I. C. (2004). The poor and informal policing in Nigeria. Centre for Law Enforcement Education.

Alemika, E. E. O., & Chukwuma, I. C. (2005). Criminal victimization and fear of crime in Lagos metropolis, Nigeria. CLEEN Foundation.

Bertus-Ferreira, J. (2009). Community policing:

A comparative perspective. In D. Wisler & I. D. Onwudiwe (Eds.), Community policing: International patterns and comparative perspectives (pp. 77–100). CRC Press.

Department for International Development (DFID). (2000). Understanding community policing in Nigeria: A strategic framework. UK Department for International Development.

Goldstein, H. (1979). Improving policing: A problem-oriented approach. Crime & Delinquency, 25(2), 236–258.

Ikuomola, A. D. (2011). The challenges of community policing in Nigeria: A case study of Lagos State. International Journal of Police Science & Management, 13(1), 46–56.

Marenin, O. (1985). Policing Nigeria: Control and autonomy in the exercise of coercion. African Studies Review, 28(1), 73–93.

Newburn, T. (2017). Criminology (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Nigeria Police Force. (2020). Annual report on community policing in Nigeria. NPF Publications.

Odekunle, F. (2005). Crime and policing in Nigeria: Challenges and options. Nigeria Institute of Social and Economic Research.

Peak, K. J., & Glensor, R. W. (2012). Community policing and problem solving: Strategies and practices (6th ed.). Pearson.

Rosenbaum, D. P. (2002). Evaluating multi-agency anti-crime partnerships: Theory, design and measurement issues. Crime Prevention Studies, 14, 171–225.

Shaw, C. R., & McKay, H. D. (1942). Juvenile delinquency and urban areas. University of Chicago Press.

Sherman, L. W. (1993). Policing domestic violence: Experiments and dilemmas. Free Press.

Skogan, W. G. (2006). Police and community in Chicago: A tale of three cities. Oxford University Press.

Skogan, W. G., & Frydl, K. (2004). Fairness and effectiveness in policing: The evidence. National Academies Press.

Tankebe, J. (2008). Police legitimacy, procedural justice and compliance with the law: A study of public attitudes toward the police in Ghana. Criminology, 46(4), 1027–1054.

Trojanowicz, R., & Bucqueroux, B. (1990). Community policing: A contemporary perspective. Anderson Publishing.

Wilson, J. Q., & Kelling, G. L. (1982). Broken windows: The police and neighborhood safety. The Atlantic Monthly, 249(3), 29–38.

World Health Organization. (2004). WHO guide to violence prevention. WHO Press.